

Date of Release: 17 March 2016

# **DBRS Finalises Provisional Ratings on FT Santander Consumer Spain Auto 2016-1**

### Bloomberg: DBRS Rates FT Santander Consumer Spain Auto 2016-1 Industry Group: European Structured Finance Sub-Industry: European Consumer Auto Loans ABS Region: Europe

DBRS Ratings Limited (DBRS) has today finalised, as follows, the provisional ratings previously assigned to the notes issued by FT Santander Consumer Spain Auto 2016-1 (the issuer or the fund):

--€650.2 million Series A Notes at AA (sf) (the Series A Notes)

- -- €30.6 million Series B Notes at A (sf) (the Series B Notes)
- -- €42.1 million Series C Notes at BBB (sf) (the Series C Notes)
- -- €23.0 million Series D Notes at BB (low) (sf) (the Series D Notes)

The notes are backed by a portfolio of auto loan receivables granted by Santander Consumer E.F.C., S.A. (SCF) to private individuals and commercial entities to finance the purchase of new and used vehicles in the Kingdom of Spain. The transaction will use the proceeds of the Series A, Series B, Series C, Series D and Series E notes to purchase the  $\epsilon$ 765 million loan portfolio. The Fund will also issue the Series F notes to fund the  $\epsilon$ 15.3 million reserve fund. The portfolio will be serviced by SCF. The fund is managed by Santander de Titulización, SGFT.

The ratings are based upon review by DBRS of the following analytical considerations:

-- Transaction capital structure and sufficiency of credit enhancement in the form of excess spread.

-- Relevant credit enhancement in the form of subordination and reserve funds available from the issue date.

-- Credit enhancement levels are sufficient to support the expected cumulative net loss assumption projected under various stress scenarios at a AA (sf) for Series A Notes, at A (sf) for Series B Notes, at BBB (sf) for Series C Notes and at BB (low) (sf) for Series D Notes.

-- The ability of the transaction to withstand stressed cash flow assumptions and repay investors according to the terms under which they have invested.

--The transaction parties' capabilities with respect to originations, underwriting, servicing and financial strength.

-- The credit quality of the underlying collateral and the ability of the servicer to perform collection activities on the collateral. DBRS conducted an operational risk review of SCF and deems SCF be an acceptable servicer.

-- The legal structure and presence of legal opinions addressing the assignment of the assets to the issuer and the consistency with DBRS's 'Legal Criteria for European Structured Finance Transactions' methodology.

The transaction was modelled in Intex Dealmaker.

#### Notes:

<sup>© 2016,</sup> DBRS Limited, DBRS, Inc. and DBRS Ratings Limited (collectively, DBRS). All rights reserved. The information upon which DBRS ratings and reports are based is obtained by DBRS from sources DBRS believes to be reliable. DBRS does not audit the information it receives in connection with the rating process, and it does not and cannot independently verify that information in every instance. The extent of any factual investigation or independently verify that information in avery instance. The extent of any factual investigation provided by DBRS are provided "as is" and without representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, timeliness, completeness, merchantability, fitness for any particular purpose or non-infringement of any of such information. In no event shall DBRS or its directors, officers, employees, independent contractors, agents and representatives (collectively, DBRS Representatives) be liable (1) for any inaccuracy, delay, loss of data, interruption in service, error or or omission or for any damages resulting therefrom, or (2) for any direct, indirect, indirect,



All figures are in euros unless otherwise noted.

The principal methodology applicable is 'Rating European Consumer and Commercial Asset-Backed Securitisations'.

DBRS has applied the principal methodology consistently and conducted a review of the transaction in accordance with the principal methodology.

Other methodologies referenced in this transaction are listed at the end of this press release.

All DBRS methodologies can be found on www.dbrs.com at: <u>http://www.dbrs.com/about/methodologies</u>.

For a more detailed discussion of the sovereign risk impact on Structured Finance ratings, please refer to DBRS commentary 'The Effect of Sovereign Risk on Securitisations in the Euro Area' on http://www.dbrs.com/industries/bucket/id/10036/name/commentaries/.

The information used for this rating includes historical net loss data relating to SCF origination by quarterly vintage on a cumulative net loss basis going back to Q3 2006 and data from previous securitisations grouped into yearly vintages. All information was sourced by the originator, SCF, and by the management company, Santander de Titulización S.G.F.T., S.A, through the transaction arrangers, BEKA Finance, Sociedad De Valores, S.A., BEKA Structured Securities, S.L. and Crédit Agricole Corporate and Investment Banking. DBRS considers the information available to it for the purposes of providing this rating was of satisfactory quality.

DBRS does not rely upon third-party due diligence in order to conduct its analysis; however, Agreed upon Procedures (AUP) are included in the requested documentation. DBRS was supplied with an AUP report. Data checks were performed and DBRS did not apply additional cash flow stresses in its scenarios.

Further information on DBRS's analysis of this transaction will be available in a rating report on <u>http://www.dbrs.com</u> or by contacting us at <u>info@dbrs.com</u>.

DBRS does not audit the information it receives in connection with the rating process, and it does not and cannot independently verify that information in every instance.

These ratings concern a newly issued financial instrument. These are the first DBRS ratings on this financial instrument.

Information regarding DBRS ratings, including definitions, policies and methodologies, is available on www.dbrs.com.

To assess the impact of changing the transaction parameters on the rating, DBRS considered the following stress scenarios, as compared to the parameters used to determine the rating (the Base Case):

-- Probability of Default (PD): Base Case of 10.02%, a 25% and 50% increase on base case PD

<sup>© 2016,</sup> DBRS Limited, DBRS, Inc. and DBRS Ratings Limited (collectively, DBRS). All rights reserved. The information upon which DBRS ratings and reports are based is obtained by DBRS from sources DBRS believes to be reliable. DBRS does not audit the information it receives in connection with the rating process, and it does not and cannot independently verify that information in every instance. The extent of any factual investigation or independently verify that information in every instance. DBRS from sources DBRS hereby disclaims any representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, timeliness, completeness, merchantability, fitness for any particular purpose or non-infringement of any of such information. In no event shall DBRS or its directors, officers, employees, independent contractors, agents and representatives (collectively, DBRS Representatives) be liable (1) for any inaccuracy, delay, loss of data, interruption in service, error or omission or for any damages resulting therefrom, or (2) for any direct, indirect, indirect,

# Press Release



Insight beyond the rating.

-- Loss Given Default (LGD): Base Case of 50.09%, a 25% and 50% increase on base case LGD

-- Recovery Rate: Base Case Recovery Rate of 50%

## DBRS concludes that for the Series A Notes:

-- A hypothetical increase of the Base Case PD by 25% or a hypothetical increase of the LGD by 25%, ceteris paribus, would result in a downgrade of the Series A notes to a A (sf) rating.

-- A hypothetical increase of the Base Case PD by 50% or a hypothetical increase of the LGD by 50%, ceteris paribus, would result in a downgrade of the Series A notes to a A (low) (sf) rating.

-- A hypothetical increase of the Base Case PD by 25% and a hypothetical increase of the LGD by 25%, ceteris paribus, would result in a downgrade of the Series A notes to a A (low) (sf) rating.

-- A hypothetical increase of the Base Case PD by 50% and a hypothetical increase of the LGD by 25%, ceteris paribus, would result in a downgrade of the Series A notes to a BBB (sf) rating.

-- A hypothetical increase of the Base Case PD by 25% and a hypothetical increase of the LGD by 50%, ceteris paribus, would result in a downgrade of the Series A notes to a BBB (sf) rating.

-- A hypothetical increase of the Base Case PD by 50% and a hypothetical increase of the LGD by 50%, ceteris paribus, would result in a downgrade of the Series A notes to a BB (high) (sf) rating.

### DBRS concludes that for Series B Notes:

-- A hypothetical increase of the Base Case PD by 25% or a hypothetical increase of the LGD by 25%, ceteris paribus, would result in a downgrade of the Series B notes to a BBB (high) (sf) rating.

-- A hypothetical increase of the Base Case PD by 50% or a hypothetical increase of the LGD by 50%, ceteris paribus, would result in a downgrade of the Series B notes to a BBB (sf) rating.

-- A hypothetical increase of the Base Case PD by 25% and a hypothetical increase of the LGD by 25%, ceteris paribus, would result in a downgrade of the Series B notes to a BBB (sf) rating.

-- A hypothetical increase of the Base Case PD by 50% and a hypothetical increase of the LGD by 25%, ceteris paribus, would result in a downgrade of the Series B notes to a BB (sf) rating.

-- A hypothetical increase of the Base Case PD by 25% and a hypothetical increase of the LGD by 50%, ceteris paribus, would result in a downgrade of the Series B notes to a BB (sf) rating.

-- A hypothetical increase of the Base Case PD by 50% and a hypothetical increase of the LGD by 50%, ceteris paribus, would result in a downgrade of the Series B notes to a B (high) (sf) rating.

DBRS concludes that for Series C Notes:

-- A hypothetical increase of the Base Case PD by 25% or a hypothetical increase of the LGD by 25%, ceteris paribus, would result in a downgrade of the Series C notes to a BB (sf) rating.

-- A hypothetical increase of the Base Case PD by 50% or a hypothetical increase of the LGD by 50%, ceteris paribus, would result in a downgrade of the Series C notes to a B (high) (sf) rating.

-- A hypothetical increase of the Base Case PD by 25% and a hypothetical increase of the LGD by 25%, ceteris paribus, would result in a downgrade of the Series C notes to a B (sf) rating.

-- A hypothetical increase of the Base Case PD by 50% and a hypothetical increase of the LGD by 25%, ceteris paribus, would result in a downgrade of the Series C notes to a C (sf) rating.

-- A hypothetical increase of the Base Case PD by 25% and a hypothetical increase of the LGD by 50%, ceteris paribus, would result in a downgrade of the Series C notes to a C (sf) rating.

-- A hypothetical increase of the Base Case PD by 50% and a hypothetical increase of the LGD by 50%, ceteris

<sup>© 2016,</sup> DBRS Limited, DBRS, Inc. and DBRS Ratings Limited (collectively, DBRS). All rights reserved. The information upon which DBRS ratings and reports are based is obtained by DBRS from sources DBRS believes to be reliable. DBRS does not audit the information it receives in connection with the rating process, and it does not and cannot independently verify that information in every instance. The extent of any factual investigation or independently verify that information or warranty of any factual investigation or independent or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, timeliness, completeness, merchantability, fitness for any particular purpose or non-infringement of any of such information never instances. DBRS, encourced, timeliness, completeness, merchantability, fitness for any particular purpose or non-infringement of any of such information. In ne event shall DBRS or its directors, officers, employees, independent contractors, agents and representatives (collectively, DBRS Representatives) be liable (1) for any inaccuracy, delay, loss of data, interruption in service, error or on sission or for any damages resulting therefrom, or (2) for any direct, indirect, indirect,

# Press Release



Insight beyond the rating.

paribus, would result in a downgrade of the Series C notes to a C (sf) rating

DBRS concludes that for Series D Notes:

-- A hypothetical increase of the Base Case PD by 25% or a hypothetical increase of the LGD by 25%, ceteris paribus, would result in a downgrade of the Series D notes to a C (sf) rating.

-- A hypothetical increase of the Base Case PD by 50% or a hypothetical increase of the LGD by 50%, ceteris paribus, would result in a downgrade of the Series D notes to a C (sf) rating.

-- A hypothetical increase of the Base Case PD by 25% and a hypothetical increase of the LGD by 25%, ceteris paribus, would result in a downgrade of the Series D notes to a C (sf) rating.

-- A hypothetical increase of the Base Case PD by 50% and a hypothetical increase of the LGD by 25%, ceteris paribus, would result in a downgrade of the Series D notes to a C (sf) rating.

-- A hypothetical increase of the Base Case PD by 25% and a hypothetical increase of the LGD by 50%, ceteris paribus, would result in a downgrade of the Series D notes to a C (sf) rating.

-- A hypothetical increase of the Base Case PD by 50% and a hypothetical increase of the LGD by 50%, ceteris paribus, would result in a downgrade of the Series D notes to a C (sf) rating

It should be noted that the interest rates and other parameters that would normally vary with the rating level, including the recovery rates, were allowed to change as per the DBRS methodologies and criteria.

For further information on DBRS historic default rates published by the European Securities and Markets Administration (ESMA) in a central repository, see http://cerep.esma.europa.eu/cerep-web/statistics/defaults.xhtml.

For more information on this credit or on this industry, visit www.dbrs.com or contact us at info@dbrs.com.

Ratings assigned by DBRS Ratings Limited are subject to EU regulations only.

Initial Rating Date: 10 March 2016

Initial Lead Analyst: María López Lead Surveillance Analyst: Vito Natale Initial Rating Committee Chair: Chuck Weilamann

DBRS Ratings Limited 20 Fenchurch St, 31<sup>st</sup> Floor London EC3M 3BY United Kingdom Registered in England and Wales: No. 7139960

The rating methodologies used in the analysis of this transaction are listed below:

-- Rating European Consumer and Commercial Asset-Backed Securitisations

-- Legal Criteria for European Structured Finance Transactions

-- Derivative Criteria for European Structured Finance Transactions

© 2016, DBRS Limited, DBRS, Inc. and DBRS Ratings Limited (collectively, DBRS). All rights reserved. The information upon which DBRS ratings and reports are based is obtained by DBRS from sources DBRS believes to be reliable. DBRS does not audit the information it receives in connection with the rating process, and it does not and cannot independently verify that information in every instance. The extent of any factual investigation or independently verify that information in every instance. DBRS from sources DBRS hereby disclaims any representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, timeliness, completeness, merchantability, fitness for any particular purpose or non-infringement of any of such information. In no event shall DBRS or its directors, officers, employees, independent contractors, agents and representatives (collectively, DBRS Representatives) be liable (1) for any inaccuracy, delay, loss of data, interruption in service, error or omission or for any damages resulting therefrom, or (2) for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, compensatory or consequential damages arising from any use of ratings and rating reports or arising from any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of DBRS or any DBRS are, and must be construed solely as, statements of pointon and not statements of fact as to credit worthiness or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. A report providing a DBRS are in substructe for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. DBRS receives compensation for its rating activities from sisuers, insurers, guarantors and/or underwitters of det securities or assigning rating and from subscribers to its website. DBRS is not responsible for the content or operation of third party websites accessed through hypertext or other computer links and DBRS ARE TMISS ARE SUBJECT TO DISCLAIMERS AND CERTAIN UMITATIONS. P



Insight beyond the rating.

-- Operational Risk Assessment for European Structured Finance Servicers

-- Operational Risk Assessment for European Structured Finance Originators

-- Unified Interest Rate Model for European Structured Finance

The rating methodologies used in the analysis of this transaction can be found at http://www.dbrs.com/about/methodologies.

A description of how DBRS analysis structured finance transactions and how the methodologies are collectively applied can be found at http://www.dbrs.com/research/278375.

Issuer	Debt Rated	Rating Action	Rating	Trend
FTA Santander Consumer	Series A	Provisional Rating –	AA (sf)	
Spain Auto 2016-1		Finalised		
FTA Santander Consumer	Series B	Provisional Rating –	A(sf)	
Spain Auto 2016-1		Finalised		
FTA Santander Consumer	Series C	Provisional Rating –	BBB (sf)	
Spain Auto 2016-1		Finalised		
FTA Santander Consumer	Series D	Provisional Rating –	BB (low) (sf)	
Spain Auto 2016-1		Finalised		

Contacts:

María López Vice President Global Structured Finance +44 20 7855 6612 <u>mlopez@dbrs.com</u>

Paolo Conti Senior Vice President Global Structured Finance +44 (0)207 855 6627 pconti@dbrs.com

Chuck Weilamann Managing Director Global Structured Finance +1 212 806 3226 cweilamann@dbrs.com

© 2016, DBRS Limited, DBRS, Inc. and DBRS Ratings Limited (collectively, DBRS). All rights reserved. The information upon which DBRS ratings and reports are based is obtained by DBRS from sources DBRS balleves to be reliable. DBRS does not audit the information in receives in connection with the rating processes, and it does not and cannot independently verify that information in every instance. The extent of any factual investigation or independent verification depends on facts and circumstances. DBRS Tratings, reports and any other information provided by DBRS are provided "as is" and without representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, timeliness, completeness, merchantability, fitness for any particular purpose or non-infringement of any of such information. In rovent shall DBRS or its directors, officers, employees, independent contractors, agents and representatives (collectively, DBRS Representatives) be liable (1) for any inaccuracy, delay, loss of data, interruption in service, error or omission or for any damages resulting therefrom, or (2) for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, compensatory or consequential damages arising from any use of ratings and rating reports or arising from any error or therwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of DBRS or any DBRS Representative, in connection with or related to obtaining, collecting, compiling, analyzing, interpreting, communicating, publishing or delivering any such information. Ratings and other opinions issued by DBRS are, and must be construed solely as, statements of poinion and not statements of fact as to credit worthiness or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. DBRS receives compensation for its rating activities from issuers, insurers, guarantors and/or underwriters of debt securities for assigning ratings and rating reports or arising from any not be reproduced, retransmitted or distributed in any form without the prior written consent of DBRS. All DBRS RAD THESE